



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/910,412	07/21/2001	Itzhak Gurantz	9202	2398	
24244	7590 07/28/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
MICHAEL W LANDRY 5098 SEACHASE STREET			CHOWDHURY, SUMAIYA A		
SAN DIEGO,			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2611		
			DATE MAILED: 07/28/2005	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/910,412	GURANTZ ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Sumaiya A. Chowdhury	2611			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication Reply	on appears on the cover sheet wit	th the correspondence address			
THE - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR F MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communical period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) day, period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by reply received by the Office later than three months after the ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ION. CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re- ion. s, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT y statute, cause the application to become ABA	eply be timely filed r (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3) 🗌	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	on of Claims					
4) 🖾	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
*	Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are rejected.					
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)[_]	Claim(s) are subject to restriction	and/or election requirement.				
Applicati	on Papers					
9) 🔲 🤈	The specification is objected to by the Exa	aminer.				
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection					
44)[]:	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the o		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
11)[The oath or declaration is objected to by t	ne Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docu	ments have been received.				
	 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage 					
	application from the International B		ossives in this realistic stage			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
•						
A44	4-1					
Attachment	(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892)	A) []	(PTO 412)			
2) 🔲 Notice	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94	18) Paper No(s)	ummary (PTO-413) /Mail Date			
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S No(s)/Mail Date	5B/08) 5) Notice of Int	formal Patent Application (PTO-152) –·			

Art Unit: 2611

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1, 4-5, 7-9, 11-12, and 14-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Carhart (6,622,304).

Considering claim 1, Carhart discloses a network interface device (splitter reflector 25 – Fig. 2) connected to building wiring (coaxial cable 23 – Fig. 2, col. 8, lines 18-22), the building wiring comprising a point of entry (coaxial cable drop 24 – Fig. 2) and a plurality of branches connected to terminal devices (communications station 22 & central computing apparatus 21 – Fig. 2) for creating a signal distribution system (system 20 - Fig. 2, col. 8, lines 55-61) comprising

a first port (port 34 – Fig. 3, col. 9, lines 30-36) connected to the point of entry side of a branch of the building wiring;

Art Unit: 2611

a second port (port 35 – Fig. 3, col. 9, lines 60-63) connected to the terminal device side of a branch of the building wiring;

and a signal reflecting circuit (splitter reflector 25 – Fig. 2 & 3, col. 9, lines 9-14 & lines 30-35, col. 14, lines 66-67, col. 15, lines 1-10) connected between the first and second port.

Considering claim 5, Carhart discloses a signal distribution network (system 20 – Fig. 2, col. 8, lines 55-61) for transmitting modulated signals (col. 11, lines 9-12, col. 13, lines 58-66, col. 14, lines 23-26) using building wiring (coaxial cable 23) containing a plurality of branches comprising

a network interface device (splitter reflector 25 – Fig. 2) that couples network signals originating in the building wiring back into the building wiring (col. 9, lines 9-14, col. 14, lines 66-67, col. 15, lines 1-10);

at least one signal splitter (splitter reflector 25 - Fig. 2); and

a plurality of terminal devices (communications station 22 & central computing apparatus 21 – Fig. 2).

Considering claim 11, Carhart discloses a signal distribution network for transmitting modulated signals (col. 11, lines 9-17, col. 13, lines 58-66, col. 14, lines 23-26) using building wiring (coaxial cable 23 – Fig. 2) comprising

at least one signal splitter (splitter reflector 25 - Fig. 2); and

at least one frequency dependent signal coupling element (high pass filter 33 – Fig. 3) connected to a signal splitter (splitter 32 – Fig. 3; The high pass filter (33) is frequency dependent, allowing only signals over a selected cutoff frequency to pass through; - col. 10, lines 8-15); and

a plurality of terminal devices (communications station 22 & central computing apparatus 21 – Fig. 2) connected to signal splitters (col. 9, lines 5-15).

Considering claim 4, Carhart discloses the network interface device wherein the signal reflecting circuit comprises a splitter (31,32) with a first tap port (port connected to splitter (31) towards the bottom right splitter (32)), a second tap port (port connected to splitter (31) towards low pass filter (30)) and a common port (port connected to splitter (31) towards the top right splitter (32)), wherein the power at the first and second tap ports is coupled bi-directionally to the common port;

Art Unit: 2611

the common port connected to a branch of building wiring (The port connected to splitter (31) towards the top right splitter (32) is connected to splitter (32) which is connected to output port (35), which is attached to coaxial cable (23). – col. 9, lines 30-35 & lines 5-10);

a first filter (high pass filter 33 – Fig. 3) for separating bands of frequencies connected to the first tap port (High pass filter (33) is connected to splitter (32) which is connected to the first tap port in splitter (31). – col. 9, lines 51-56, col. 10, lines 8-12);

means (30-32) for reflecting signal energy connected to the first filter (col. 10, lines 6-18);

and a second filter (low pass filter 30 – Fig. 3) for separating band of frequencies connected between the second tap port and the point of entry (port 34 – Fig. 3; col. 9, lines 35-43).

Considering claims 7 and 14, Carhart discloses the signal distribution network, wherein the building wiring is coaxial cable (col. 8, lines 18-20 & lines 58-61).

Considering claim 8, Carhart discloses the signal distribution network, wherein the network interface device (splitter reflector 25 – Fig. 2) is located at the point of entry (coaxial cable drop 24 – Fig. 2) of the building wiring (23 – Fig. 2, The reflector (25) is

Art Unit: 2611

connected to the CATV System (10) through coaxial cable drop (24). The reflector (25) has an input port (34) connected to the coaxial cable drop (24) through which the CATV cable signals enter. – col. 9, lines 30-37).

Considering claim 9, Carhart discloses the signal distribution network, wherein the network interface device (splitter reflector 25 – Fig. 2) is frequency dependent and couples signals by reflecting a predetermined frequency band of signals (The reflector (25) comprises of filters which allow signals of selected frequencies to pass through. The signals which do not comply with the cutoff frequency are reflected. The filters and splitters in reflector (25) are bidirectional, as can be seen in Fig. 3. As a result, signals are coupled and outputted to port (35). - col. 9, lines 30-38 & lines 60-67, col. 10, lines 3-18).

Considering claim 12, Carhart discloses the signal distribution network, wherein the frequency dependent signal coupling element (high pass filter 33) reflects a predetermined frequency band of signals (The high pass filter (33) is frequency dependent, reflecting signals below a selected cutoff frequency; - col. 10, lines 8-15).

Considering claim 15, Carhart discloses the signal distribution network, wherein the frequency dependent signal coupling element is located at the point of entry of the building wiring (High pass filter (33) is located in reflector (25) which is located at the

Art Unit: 2611

point of entry of the building wiring where signals from the CATV system are received. – col. 9, lines 30-36)

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Carhart in view of Boesch (5,969,582).

Considering claim 2, Carhart fails to disclose that the signal reflecting circuit comprises a parallel resonant circuit.

In an analogous art, Boesch discloses a system in which a parallel resonant circuit is implemented to reflect the energy. The resonant frequency of a parallel resonant circuit is the frequency at which the parallel impedance is maximum, thus allowing the signal to be effectively reflected. — col. 4, lines 63-67 & col. 5, lines 1-5.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to modify Carhart's system to include a parallel resonant circuit in

Art Unit: 2611

the signal reflecting circuit, as taught by Boesch, for the advantage of reflecting energy such that terminal devices could transmit/receive messages.

3. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Carhart in view of O'Shea (4,933,745).

Considering claim 3, Carhart fails to disclose the network interface device wherein the signal reflecting circuit comprises a series resonant circuit.

In an analogous art, O'Shea discloses a system in which a series resonant circuit is implemented to reflect the energy. The resonant frequency of a series resonant circuit is the frequency at which the series impedance is a minimum, thus allowing the signal to be effectively reflected. - col. 5, lines 1-10

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to modify Carhart's system to include a series resonant circuit in the signal reflecting circuit, as taught by O'Shea, for the advantage of reflecting energy such that terminal devices could transmit/receive messages.

4. Claims 6, 13, and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Carhart in view of Langlais (6,091,932)

Considering claims 6, 13 and 16, Carhart fails to disclose the signal distribution network, wherein the signal modulation is orthogonal frequency division multiplexing.

In an analogous art, Langlais discloses a transmission system in which OFDM is employed to provide increased robustness against frequency selective fading or narrowband interference. – col. 10, lines 55-58.

Page 9

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to modify Carhart's system to include orthogonal frequency division multiplexing as the desired type of signal modulation, as taught by Langlais, for the advantage of providing a more robust communication technique for distributing signals.

5. Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Carhart in view of Paul (6,381,745).

Considering claim 10, Carhart discloses the signal distribution network, wherein the network interface device is direction dependent and couples signals by power summing signals from at least two branches (The network interface device (25) is direction dependent and couples signals. For instance in Fig. 3, the signal outputted onto port 35 could be a signal coupled from high pass filter (33) and splitter (31) – col. 9, lines 51-58). However, Carhart fails to disclose that the signals are coupled by a power summer.

In an analogous art, Paul discloses a system in which a combiner (113 – Fig. 1) which combines at least two signals is applied to provide the advantage of supplying a single composite output signal – col. 4, lines 19-35.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to modify Carhart's system to include a combiner which combines at least two signals, as taught by Paul, for the advantage of supplying a single composite output signal.

6. Claim 17 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Carhart in view of Margulis (2001/0021998)

Considering claim 17, Carhart fails to disclose that the signal distribution network uses code division multiplex for signal modulation.

In an analogous art, Margulis discloses a system in which code division multiplex is implemented – paragraph 0055, 0063, and 0070.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to modify Carhart's system to include code division multiplex, as taught by Margulis, for the advantage of providing high user capacity and protection from interference from other signals.

7. Claim 18 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Carhart in view of Langlais as applied to claim 16 above, and further in view of Horton (6,788,707).

Art Unit: 2611

Considering claim 18, Carhart discloses a signal distribution network wherein terminal devices communicate with each other. However, he fails to disclose that the terminal devices communicate with each other using time division duplex protocol.

In an analogous art, Horton discloses a cable network in which Time Division Multiple Access (TDMA) is implemented to facilitate communication in both the upstream and downstream direction – col. 4, lines 9-16.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to modify Carhart's system to include TDMA, as taught by Horton, for the advantage of facilitating communications between devices wherein a single frequency supports simultaneous data channels.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sumaiya A. Chowdhury whose telephone number is (571) 272-8567. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri, 8-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chris Grant can be reached on (571) 272-7292. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/910,412 Page 12

Art Unit: 2611

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

SAC

CHRISTOPHER GRANT SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600